

EXPLICIT BRAUER-MANIN OBSTRUCTIONS ON PLANE QUARTICS

NILS BRUIN AND BRENDAN CREUTZ

ABSTRACT. We describe a method to show a plane quartic over a number field has no rational points. The method can be adapted to show that a curve does not have divisors of degree 1 or 2 and can be generalized to arbitrary smooth projective curves. Our approach significantly improves on the applicability over previous 2-cover descent methods by not requiring the computation of the full S -unit group of the étale algebras involved. We illustrate the practicality with several examples, including examples where we determine plane quartics to be of index 2 or 4 when the maximum local index is strictly smaller.

1. INTRODUCTION

We describe a practical method to show that a plane quartic curve X over a number field k has no rational points, even if it has points everywhere locally. The strategy can also be used to prove that X has no k -rational divisors of degree 1 or 2.

More specifically, we compute obstructions that arise from 2-cover descent. The main innovation over previous work [BS09, BPS16, Cre13, Cre20] is that we do not need to fully determine S -unit groups of number fields to obtain unconditional results: we are already able to obtain nontrivial results by knowing some explicit S -units. Our algorithm generalizes the one developed in [CS23] for hyperelliptic curves, and we present a much simplified proof of correctness. Like [BPS16], our approach can be readily generalized to apply to arbitrary smooth projective curves, although computational costs rise exponentially with the genus for non-hyperelliptic curves.

In Section 2 we consider an étale algebra L over a number field k and a finite set of primes S . In Theorem 2.2 we use Hilbert symbols to establish a pairing which imposes constraints on the image of the localization map

$$\left(\frac{L^\times}{k^\times L^{\times 2}}\right)_S \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} \frac{L_v^\times}{k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}}.$$

coming from S -units of square norm.

In Section 3 we explain how to use this to obtain an obstruction to rational points and/or divisors. We illustrate the argument here for rational points on a plane quartic X over a number field k . The 28 bitangents give rise to an L and a map $C_f: X(k) \rightarrow L^\times/k^\times L^{\times 2}$ as well as local versions. For a suitable finite set of primes S , the map factors through the part unramified outside S ,

$$C_f(X(k)) \rightarrow (L^\times/k^\times L^{\times 2})_S \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} C_f(X(k_v)).$$

Given suitable S -units of square norm we may be able to show that the image of the localization map does not intersect $\prod_{v \in S} C_f(X(k_v))$, in which case we can conclude that $C_f(X(k))$ is empty and, hence, that $X(k)$ is empty. This approach contrasts that of [BPS16] where one must compute generators for all of $(L^\times/k^\times L^{\times 2})_S$ to obtain the image in the localization. Both approaches require computing the local images $C_f(X(k_v))$. In Remark 3.7 we describe several ways to reduce the number of primes where this is required.

In Section 4 we use our Magma [BCP97] implementation [BC26] on several plane quartic curves over \mathbb{Q} occurring in the literature to prove they have no rational points. In Section 5 we describe how the methods can be used to determine the index of a plane quartic and give examples of curves of index 2 or 4 where the maximum local index is strictly smaller. Finally, in Section 6 we describe how our obstruction can be interpreted in terms of finite abelian descent and Brauer-Manin obstructions.

Acknowledgements: The first author acknowledges support from Canada's NSERC, RGPIN-2024-04233. The second author was supported in part by the Marsden Fund administered by Royal Society Te Apārangi.

2. ÉTALE ALGEBRAS

Let L/k be an étale algebra over a number field k . Equivalently, $L \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m K_i$ is a product of finite field extensions K_i/k . Let v be a prime of k with completion k_v . Then $L_v := L \otimes_k k_v$ decomposes as the product $L_v \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{w|v} K_{i,w}$ of the completions of the K_i at the primes of K_i above v . We write \bar{k} for an algebraic closure of k and $\bar{L} = L \otimes \bar{k}$.

Definition 2.1. A class in $L_v^\times/L_v^{\times 2}$ is **unramified** if it is represented by some $\ell_v = (\ell_{i,w}) \in L_v \simeq \prod K_{i,w}$ such that all of the extensions $K_{i,w}(\sqrt{\ell_{i,w}})/K_{i,w}$ are unramified. A class in $L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ is **unramified** if

it can be represented by a class in $L_v^\times/L_v^{\times 2}$ that is unramified. For a finite set of primes S of k , we write $(L^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2})_S$ and $(L^\times/L_v^{\times 2})_S$ for the subgroups of classes whose images in $L_v/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ (resp. in $L_v^\times/L_v^{\times 2}$) are unramified at all $v \notin S$.

The norm map $N_{L/k}: L \rightarrow k$ induces a homomorphism $L^\times/L_v^{\times 2} \rightarrow k^\times/k^{\times 2}$. We write $(L^\times/L_v^{\times 2})_{N=1}$ to denote the kernel of this homomorphism and $(L^\times/L_v^{\times 2})_{S,N=1}$ for its intersection with $(L^\times/L_v^{\times 2})_S$.

On each factor of $L_v \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{w|v} K_{i,w}$, the Hilbert symbol defines a nondegenerate bilinear pairing on the finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space $K_{i,w}^\times/K_{i,w}^{\times 2}$ taking values in $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Summing these over the factors yields a bilinear pairing of finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces:

$$(2.1) \quad (\ , \)_v: \frac{L_v^\times}{L_v^{\times 2}} \times \frac{L_v^\times}{L_v^{\times 2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let L/k be an étale algebra over a number field k and let S be a finite set of primes of k .*

(1) *The pairings (2.1) induce a bilinear pairing of finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces*

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle_S : \prod_{v \in S} \frac{L_v^\times}{k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}} \times \left(\frac{L^\times}{L^{\times 2}} \right)_{S,N=1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

by

$$\langle (\ell_v)_{v \in S}, m \rangle_S = \sum_{v \in S} (\ell_v, \text{res}_v(m))_v \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

(2) *The left kernel of the pairing $\langle \ , \ \rangle_S$ is equal to the image of the restriction map*

$$\left(\frac{L^\times}{k^\times L^{\times 2}} \right)_S \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} \frac{L_v^\times}{k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}}.$$

Proof. We first prove (1). Let v be a prime of k . For $\ell, m \in L_v^\times$ we write $\mathcal{A}(\ell, m)$ for the corresponding quaternion algebra over L_v . The pairing (2.1) equals the invariant of the corestriction to k_v , so $(\ell, m)_v = \text{inv}_v \text{Cor}_{L_v/k_v}(\mathcal{A}(\ell, m))$.

For $a \in k_v^\times$, the corestriction $\text{Cor}_{L_v/k_v}(\mathcal{A}(a, m))$ is the class of the quaternion algebra $\mathcal{A}(a, N_{L_v/k_v}(m))$ over k_v . If $N_{L_v/k_v}(m) \in k_v^{\times 2}$, then $\mathcal{A}(a, N_{L_v/k_v}(m))$ has trivial invariant. Thus, the pairing (2.1) induces a pairing

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \ , \ \rangle_v: L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2} \times (L_v^\times/L_v^{\times 2})_{N=1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Summing these over the primes in S gives the pairing in the statement.

Now we prove (2). Let k_S/k be the maximal extension unramified outside S . We consider Galois cohomology of the self-dual $\text{Gal}(k_S/k)$ -modules $\mu_2(\bar{k})$ and $\mu_2(\bar{L})$. Their respective Kummer sequences together with Hilbert's Theorem 90 give isomorphisms $H^1(k_S/k, \mu_2) \simeq (k^\times/k^{\times 2})_S$, and $H^1(k_S/k, \mu_2(\bar{L})) \simeq (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_S$. Under this identification the Poitou-Tate exact sequences for these $\text{Gal}(k_S/k)$ -modules give rise to a commutative diagram of linear maps of \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces with exact rows:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (k^\times/k^{\times 2})_S & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S} k_v^\times/k_v^{\times 2} & \longrightarrow & (k^\times/k^{\times 2})_S^* & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow N_{L/k}^* & & \\ (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_S & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S} L_v^\times/L_v^{\times 2} & \longrightarrow & (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_S^* & & , \end{array}$$

where the $*$ denotes the dual vector space, the vertical maps are induced by either the inclusion $i: \mu_2(\bar{k}) \rightarrow \mu_2(\bar{L})$ or the norm $N_{L/k}: \mu_2(\bar{L}) \rightarrow \mu_2$, and the second map in the bottom row is given by

$$(\ell_v)_{v \in S} \mapsto \left[m \mapsto \sum_{v \in S} (\ell_v, m)_v \right].$$

The claimed surjectivity of the map to $(k^\times/k^{\times 2})_S^*$ follows from the local-global principle for $H^2(k, \mu_2(\bar{k})) \simeq \text{Br}(k)[2]$. For the reader less familiar with duality theorems in Galois cohomology we note that exactness of (2.3) can be deduced directly from the well-known Hilbert reciprocity law.

The restriction map and the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S$ give maps

$$(2.4) \quad \left(\frac{L^\times}{k^\times L^{\times 2}} \right)_S \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} \frac{L_v^\times}{k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}} \rightarrow \left(\frac{L^\times}{L^{\times 2}} \right)_{S, N=1}^*.$$

The bottom row of (2.3) maps surjectively onto (2.4), from which it follows that (2.4) is an exact sequence. This exactness is equivalent to the claim that the left kernel of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S$ is the image of the restriction map. \square

2.1. Finding elements in $(L^\times/L^{\times 2})_S$. The applications we have in mind concern the image of the first map in (2.4). Because of the exactness we can compute it either as an image or as a kernel. As we explain below, in either case we end up considering S -units in L^\times , either in order to represent preimages or to represent classes in $(L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{S, N=1}$ that constrain the image through the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S$.

We assume that S is a finite set of primes of k including all archimedean primes and all primes above 2. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{L,S}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{L,S}^{\times 2}) \rightarrow (L^\times / L^{\times 2})_S \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{L,S})[2] \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{L,S} = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{K_i,S}$ is the product of the rings of S -integers of the direct factors K_i of L and $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{L,S}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K_i,S})$ is the product of their ideal class groups [PS97, Proposition 12.6].

Let K be one of the number field factors of L . The index calculus techniques of Buchmann's subexponential class- and unit-group algorithm [Buc90] apply to determining $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times$. We review them here to explain how partial information can be extracted from the procedure. Let T be a set of primes (a 'factor basis'). Assuming $S \subset T$ and setting $U = T \setminus S$, there is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,T}^\times \xrightarrow{\phi} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}),$$

where the exponent vector $\phi(\alpha) = (\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha))_{\mathfrak{p} \in U}$ is called a *relation*. A set of elements $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K,T}^\times$ determines a *relation matrix* M with the $\phi(\alpha_i)$ as rows. The left kernel of M determines a subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times$, generated by the appropriate power products of the α_i . A relation matrix M also determines a subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times 2}$, given by the null space of $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$, which can be computed using linear algebra over \mathbb{F}_2 . Doing this for each direct factor K_i of L , we obtain a basis for a subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{L,S}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{L,S}^{\times 2}$. By computing the norms of the α_i , we can find those linear combinations which lie in the subgroup $(\mathcal{O}_{L,S}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{L,S}^{\times 2})_{N=1} \subset (L^\times / L^{\times 2})_{S,N=1}$.

For determining the S -unit group and the class group of $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ one takes T large enough to ensure that π is surjective (for example if T contains all primes up to the Minkowski bound, or one of the better bounds known to hold conditionally on GRH), and one generates enough α_i to ensure the relations generate the full unit group. For our application we only need to sufficiently constrain the image of the first map in (2.4). We can do so with a relation matrix for which the left kernel of $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ is sufficiently large to yield a subgroup of $(L^\times / L^{\times 2})_{S,N=1}$ that under the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ provides sufficient constraints. We don't need surjectivity under π or a proof that our subgroup is of index 1.

3. AN OBSTRUCTION TO RATIONAL POINTS ON PLANE QUARTICS

Let k be a number field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_k and let X/k be a smooth quartic curve in \mathbb{P}^2 defined by

$$X: g(x, y, z) = 0, \text{ with } g(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{O}_k[x, y, z].$$

of degree 4. For an extension K/k we denote the base change of X to K by X_K and we write \overline{X} for the base change of X to \overline{k} .

We use $\text{Pic}(X)$ to denote the group of k -rational divisors on X modulo principal divisors, and use Pic_X to denote the k -scheme representing the Picard functor. We use $\text{Pic}^i(X)$ and Pic_X^i to denote the subgroup or component corresponding to divisor classes of degree i . For an extension K of k , the rational points $\text{Pic}_X^i(K)$ are *divisor classes* that are defined over K , while $\text{Pic}(X_K)$ consists of classes of divisors that are defined over K . We note that the natural injective map of sets $\text{Pic}(X_K) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X(K)$ need not be surjective.

We identify X with its image in Pic_X^1 . For a subscheme $Y \subset \text{Pic}_X^i$ we introduce a shorthand for the intersection:

$$Y(K)' := Y(K) \cap \text{Pic}^i(X_K).$$

In what follows we mainly consider $Y = X$ and $Y = \text{Pic}_X^i$.

The 28 bitangents to X form a k -scheme $\Delta = \text{Spec}(L)$, where L is an étale k -algebra of degree 28. We write $k(X \times \Delta)$ for the k -algebra of rational functions on $X \times \Delta$. Given a bitangent $\delta \in \Delta(\overline{k})$, let $\beta_\delta \in \text{Div}(\overline{X})$ denote its set of contact points, viewed as a divisor of degree 2 on \overline{X} . These piece together to give a divisor $\beta \in \text{Div}(X \times \Delta)$. Fix a linear form $ux+vy+wz$ with $u, v, w \in \mathcal{O}_L$ defining the generic bitangent and a nonzero linear form $h \in k[x, y, z]$. Then $f := (ux+vy+wz)/h \in k(X \times \Delta)^\times$ is a function whose divisor is $\text{div}(f) = 2\beta - \kappa_X \times \Delta$, where κ_X is a canonical divisor on X . Algorithms for computing these data from the quartic form $g(x, y, z)$ as well as the discriminant of $g(x, y, z)$ are described in [BPS16, Section 12].

Lemma 3.1. *The function $f \in k(X \times \Delta)^\times$ defines, functorially in the extension K/k , homomorphisms*

$$C_f: \text{Pic}(X_K) \rightarrow \frac{(L \otimes K)^\times}{K^\times(L \otimes K)^{\times 2}},$$

sending the class of a divisor $D = \sum_{P \in X(\overline{K})} n_P P \in \text{Div}(X_K)$ with support disjoint from the zeros and poles of f to the class of $f(D) = \prod_P f(P)^{n_P}$ in $(L \otimes K)^\times / K^\times(L \otimes K)^{\times 2}$.

Proof. The rule in the statement defines a homomorphism on the subgroup of $\text{Div}(X_K)$ of divisors with support disjoint from the support of f . Using Weil reciprocity one checks that $f(D)$ depends only on the class of D in $\text{Pic}(X_K)$. Since every divisor on X_K is linearly equivalent to one whose support is disjoint from the support of f , the homomorphism extends in a unique way to $\text{Pic}(X_K)$. See [BPS16, 6.3.2] and [Cre20, Lemma 4.3] for more details. \square

Definition 3.2. Let $i \geq 0$, let S be a finite set of primes of k , and let $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{O}_{L,S}^\times$ be elements of square norm and let $B \subset (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{S,N=1}$ be the subgroup they generate. For $Y \subset \text{Pic}_X^i$ we define

$$V_{B,S}(Y) := \left\{ (\ell_v) \in \prod_{v \in S} \frac{L_v^\times}{k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}} : \begin{array}{l} \forall b \in B, \langle (\ell_v), b \rangle_S = 0, \text{ and} \\ \forall v \in S, \ell_v \in C_f(Y(k_v)') \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *With the notation of Definition 3.2, assume that for all $v \notin S$ the image of $C_f: Y(k_v)' \rightarrow L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ is unramified. Then $C_f(Y(k)') \subset V_{B,S}(Y)$, and hence $Y(k)'$ is empty if $V_{B,S}(Y)$ is empty. Furthermore, if $V_{B,S}(X) = \emptyset$ then $X(k) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. By assumption the image of $C_f: Y(k)' \rightarrow L^\times/k^\times L^{\times 2}$ is unramified outside S . By Theorem 2.2 we have the following commutative diagram of set maps whose bottom row is an exact sequence of \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y(k)' & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S} Y(k_v)' \\ \downarrow C_f & & \downarrow \prod C_f \\ \left(\frac{L^\times}{k^\times L^{\times 2}} \right)_S & \xrightarrow{\prod \text{res}_v} & \prod_{v \in S} \frac{L_v^\times}{k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}} \longrightarrow \left(\frac{L^\times}{L^{\times 2}} \right)_{S,N=1}^*. \end{array}$$

The second map in the bottom row is induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S$. It follows that the image of any $x \in Y(k)'$ in $\prod_{v \in S} \frac{L_v^\times}{k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}}$ must lie in $V_{B,S}(Y)$. Hence, if $V_{B,S}(Y) = \emptyset$, then we must have $Y(k)' = \emptyset$.

Note that points on X are the unique effective representatives in their degree 1 divisor class, so $X(k) = X(k)'$, giving us the last claim. \square

Algorithm 3.4.

Input: $g \in \mathcal{O}_k[x, y, z]$, the k -algebra L , a set S , $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$, and Y as in Definition 3.2.

Output: The set $V_{B,S}(Y)$.

- (1) Compute bases for $V = \prod_{v \in S} L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ and $W = \prod_{v \in S} (L_v^\times/L_v^{\times 2})_{N=1}$ as well as a function representing the map $L^\times \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} L_v^\times/L_v^{\times 2}$.
- (2) Find the matrix representing the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S: V \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of Theorem 2.2 with respect to these bases.
- (3) For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, find the image of b_i in W and its orthogonal complement $V^i \subset V$ with respect to the pairing.
- (4) Let $V_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V^i$.

- (5) For each $v \in S$ compute the image I_v of $C_f: Y(k_v)' \rightarrow L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$.
- (6) Return $V_{B,S}(Y) = V_0 \cap \prod_{v \in S} I_v$.

Remark 3.5. We comment on various steps in the algorithm

- (1) This is a standard operation. For instance, in Magma this is accomplished with `pSelmerGroup`. The Norm map $L_v^\times/L_v^{\times 2} \rightarrow k_v^\times/k_v^{\times 2}$ can be computed by taking norms of elements representing the basis elements.
- (2) We compute Hilbert symbols between pairs of basis elements.
- (3), (4) These steps reduce to linear algebra over \mathbb{F}_2 .
- (5) We use the algorithm of [BPS16, 12.6.7].

Remark 3.6. If S contains all real or dyadic primes, all primes of bad reduction for the model $g(x, y, z) = 0$, and all primes below primes of bad reduction for the model $ux + vy + wz = 0$ of the generic bitangent, then by [BPS16, Lemma 12.13] we have that the image of $C_f: \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow L^\times/k^\times L^{\times 2}$ lies in the unramified outside S subgroup. Thus, Theorem 3.3 applies and Algorithm 3.4 may allow one to compute that $Y(k)'$ is empty.

Remark 3.7. In practice one can often find a smaller set S than that mentioned in Remark 3.6. Moreover, if the subgroup B is unramified at some prime $v \in S$, then it may be possible to avoid computing the full local image at v in Step 5 of the algorithm.

(1) The linear form $ux + vy + wz \in \mathcal{O}_L[x, y, z]$ with divisor $2\beta \times \Delta$ (the generic bitangent) is only determined up to scaling by an element of L^\times . The map C_f in Lemma 3.1 depends on the choice of scalar, but the restriction of C_f to $\text{Pic}^0(X_K)$ does not. By factoring the \mathcal{O}_L -ideal $\langle u, v, w \rangle$ and finding generators for principal ideals supported on its prime factors one may be able to scale $ux + vy + wz$ so that the ideal generated by its coefficients contains fewer or smaller primes.

(2) If v is an odd prime such that the Tamagawa number of the Jacobian $J = \text{Pic}_X^0$ is odd, then the image of $C_f: \text{Pic}^0(X_{k_v}) \rightarrow L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ is unramified. This follows from [BPS16, Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 10.5(a)]. For such primes it follows that for any $i \geq 0$, the image of $\text{Pic}^i(X_{k_v})$ is contained in a coset of the unramified subgroup of $L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$. In particular, one can check if the image is unramified by computing the image of a single divisor class.

(3) Suppose v is an odd prime such that the Tamagawa number is odd and the subgroup $B \subset (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_S$ is unramified at v . In this case it is not necessary to compute the full image of $C_f: Y(k_v)' \rightarrow L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$. Indeed, B is orthogonal to the unramified subgroup and $C_f(Y(k_v)')$ is contained in a coset of this. So the pairings $\langle C_f(x_v), \text{res}_v(b) \rangle_v$ in (2.2) do

not depend on $x_v \in Y(k_v)'$. This means that $V_{B,S}(X)$ is either empty or its image in $L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ is the entire image $C_f(Y(k_v)')$. Knowing the image of a single point in $Y(k_v)'$ will allow us to distinguish these cases. Particularly for large primes where computing the local image may be expensive, this can dramatically improve efficiency of the algorithm.

3.1. Generalization to arbitrary curves. Algorithm 3.4 above can be generalized to any smooth, projective and geometrically irreducible curve X/k of genus at least 2 as follows.

Hyperelliptic Curves: If X is hyperelliptic of genus g and has points in all completions of k , then there is a degree 2 map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, which we may assume is not ramified at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Then X has an affine model of the form $y^2 = h(x)$ with $h(x) \in \mathcal{O}_k[x]$ of even degree. Let $\Delta = \text{Spec}(L)$ denote the k -scheme of ramification points of the map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, so $L = k[x]/(h(x))$ and let $f = (x - \theta) \in k(X \times \Delta)$ where θ denotes the image of x in L . In this case $[L : k] = 2g + 2$.

Nonhyperelliptic Curves: Let $\Delta = \text{Spec}(L)$ denote the k -scheme of odd theta characteristics on X . These are classes in $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ of divisors $\beta_\delta \in \text{Div}(\overline{X})$ such that $2\beta_\delta$ lies in the canonical class. Thus, there is a function $f \in k(X \times \Delta)^\times$ with divisor $\text{div}(f) = 2\beta - D \times \Delta$ for some $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ representing the canonical class. In this case $[L : k] = 2^{(g-1)}(2^g - 1)$.

In both cases the data $(2, \Delta, [\beta])$ defines a ‘fake descent setup’ for X (See [BPS16, Definition 6.7]). Lemma 3.1 goes through as stated using the function $f \in k(X \times \Delta)$. It is not difficult to show in the general situation that there is an explicitly computable finite set S of primes of k for which the local images at primes not in S are all unramified. Thus, with the obvious modifications, one obtains an algorithm that takes as input a subgroup $B \subset (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{S,N=1}$ and computes a (possibly empty) subset $V_{B,S}(Y) \subset \prod_v L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ which contains the image of $Y(k)'$. In the hyperelliptic case, this is essentially [CS23, Algorithm 5.1]. The proof of correctness given there involves the Brauer group of X , which we have thus far avoided here. In Section 6 we relate $V_{B,S}(Y)$ from Definition 3.2 to the descent and Brauer-Manin obstructions.

4. EXAMPLES OF OBSTRUCTIONS TO RATIONAL POINTS ON QUARTIC CURVES

Our implementation of the algorithm and code verifying these examples can be found at [BC26].

4.1. An example from [BPS16] revisited. The following was considered in [BPS16, Prop. 12.20] where it was proved that $X(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ conditionally on GRH. As they note, removing the conditional part following their approach would require verifying the class group computation of the integer ring of a degree 28 number field with Minkowski bound exceeding 10^{22} . By way of contrast the approach described in this paper gives the same result unconditionally.

Example 4.1. Let X be the curve in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ defined by

$$x^4 + y^4 + x^2yz + 2xyz^2 - y^2z^2 + z^4 = 0.$$

Then for all $v \leq \infty$ we have $X(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset$, but $X(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$.

Proof. One easily checks that $X(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ and that $X(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset$ for $v < 37$ using Hensel's lemma. The discriminant of this quartic form is $-2^8 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 1361 \cdot 97103$. In particular, the discriminant has valuation at most 1 for all primes larger than 37. So, it follows from [BPS16, Lemma 12.14] that $X(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \geq 37$. Hence X is locally soluble.

The bitangent algebra L of X is a degree 28 number field, with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_L of discriminant $2^{30} \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 1361^6 \cdot 97103^6$. We find a linear form $ux + vy + wz \in \mathcal{O}_L[x, y, z]$ defining the generic bitangent, such that the \mathcal{O}_L -ideal $\langle u, v, w \rangle$ is supported on primes above primes in the set $S_0 = \{\infty, 2\}$. Therefore the set $S = \{\infty, 2, 5, 1361, 97103\}$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.3. To prove $X(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$, we compute the set $V_{B, S}(X)$ where B is a subset of $(\mathcal{O}_{L, S_0}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{L, S_0}^{\times 2})_{N=1} \subset (L^\times / L^{\times 2})_{S, N=1}$. We obtain generators for B from the relation matrix obtained when computing the conditional class group of \mathcal{O}_L as described in Section 2.1.

The Tamagawa numbers at 1361 and 97103 are odd since the discriminant has valuation 1 there. The Tamagawa number at $p = 5$ is also odd, as can be verified by computing the component group of a regular model of X using Magma's intrinsic `RegularModel`. Following Remark 3.7(2) we check that $C_f(X(\mathbb{Q}_v))$ is contained in the unramified subgroup. It follows that for any $(\ell_v) \in \prod_{v \in S} C_f(X(\mathbb{Q}_v))$ and any $b \in B$ we have $\langle (\ell_v), b \rangle_S = \langle \ell_2, b \rangle_2 + \langle \ell_\infty, b \rangle_\infty$.

We compute the images of $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $X(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ under C_f using the algorithm of [BPS16, 12.6.7]. The map C_f is constant on $X(\mathbb{R})$ since $X(\mathbb{R})$ consists of a single connected component. We find 4 values in the image of $C_f : X(\mathbb{Q}_2) \rightarrow L_2^\times / \mathbb{Q}_2^\times L_2^{\times 2}$. For each of the four elements $(\ell_2, \ell_\infty) \in C_f(X(\mathbb{Q}_2)) \times C_f(X(\mathbb{R}))$ we find some $b \in B$ such that $\langle \ell_2, b \rangle_2 + \langle \ell_\infty, b \rangle_\infty = 1/2$. It follows that $V_{B, S}(X) = \emptyset$ and so $X(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ by Theorem 3.3. \square

4.2. A database of plane quartics of small discriminant. The database described in [Sut19] contains 82241 smooth plane quartic

curves over \mathbb{Q} with discriminant less than 10^7 . Among these there are 148 curves that are everywhere locally solvable, but contain no \mathbb{Q} -rational points of small height. Using the algorithm described in this paper we found an obstruction to the existence of rational points for over 90% of these, yielding 135 plane quartic curves that are counterexamples to the Hasse principle. This leaves only 13 curves in the database where the techniques described in this paper are not able to decide on existence of rational points. Equations for these curves can be found in [BC26, BMQexamples.m].

We initially computed $V_{B,S}(X)$ for each of the 148 curves, taking S to be the minimal set of primes satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.3 and $S_0 \subset S$ the primes above 2 and those where the Tamagawa number is even. We computed the set $B \subset (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{N=1,S_0}$, with equality conditional on GRH. This computation took approximately 24 hours using eight 2.6GHz CPUs (67 hours cpu time - parallelization was used for generating the relation matrices, but the rest was carried out using a single core) and found obstructions for 131 of the curves. For the 17 curves remaining we ran the algorithm again now taking S and S_0 to include additional primes up to 5000. This found four additional curves with an obstruction.

4.2.1. Using good primes. The largest ‘good prime’ which played a decisive role when rerunning the algorithm on these 17 curves was $v = 11$, for the curve

$$X: x^4 + xy^3 + 4y^4 + x^3z + 2x^2z^2 - 2xyz^2 - 4y^2z^2 + xz^3 + z^4 = 0$$

of discriminant $6037072 = 2^4 \cdot 127 \cdot 2971$. For this curve, the Tamagawa numbers are all odd and we found a function f such that the local images of C_f are unramified at all odd primes. With S the set of primes above $\{\infty, 2, 127, 2971\}$, S_0 the set of primes above $\{2, \infty\}$, and B a subgroup of $(L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{N=1,S_0}$ equal to the whole group conditionally on GRH, we found $V_{B,S}(X) \neq \emptyset$. However, when including the primes above 11 in S and S_0 we found $V_{B,S}(X) = \emptyset$. A geometric explanation of this is that there is a torsor $Z \rightarrow X$ of type λ_Δ which is locally soluble at all primes other than $v = 11$ (These torsors are described in Section 6.1 below).

4.2.2. Testing. We have also run our code on thousands of curves in the database that do have obvious rational points and checked that the images of these rational points under $X(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{C_f} (L^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2})_S \xrightarrow{\text{res}_S} \prod_{v \in S} L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ land in the computed set $V_{B,S}(X)$.

5. COMPUTING THE INDEX OF A PLANE QUARTIC

The index of a variety X over a field K is the GCD of the degrees of the closed points on X . If X/K is a smooth geometrically integral plane quartic curve the index divides 4. It is equal to 1 if and only if $\text{Pic}^1(X) \neq \emptyset$ and equal to 4 if and only if $\text{Pic}^2(X) = \emptyset$. If one has $\text{Pic}^1(X) = \emptyset$, then the index may be either 2 or 4.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X/K be a smooth plane quartic curve of index $I(X)$ over a field K .*

- (1) *If $I(X) = 1$, then there is a closed point of degree 1 or 3 on X .*
- (2) *If $I(X) = 2$, then there is a closed point of degree 2 or 6 on X .*

Proof. If $I(X) = 1$, then X contains a K -rational divisor D of degree 1. Then $3D$ has degree 3 and is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor by Riemann-Roch. This divisor is either a closed point of degree 3 or contains a closed point of degree 1 in its support. If $I(X) = 2$, then X contains a K -rational divisor D of degree 2. Then $D + \kappa_X$ has degree 6 and is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor, again by Riemann-Roch. Either this is a closed point of degree 6 or contains a closed point of degree 2 in its support. Note that it cannot contain any divisors of odd degree in its support because the index is 2. \square

For a local field K , there are only finitely many extensions of K of any given degree. Using Hensel's lemma one can check for rational points over these extensions. This gives a practical algorithm to compute the index of a plane quartic curve over a local field. Given a plane quartic curve over a global field, one can compute the indices of X over all of the completions (note that the index is 1 for all primes of good reduction greater or equal to 37 by Hensel's Lemma and the Weil bounds). The maximum local index gives a lower bound for the global index. The obstruction sets described above allow us in many cases to obtain a lower bound for the index that is larger by a factor of 2.

Proposition 5.2. *Let X/k be a smooth quartic curve over a number field k and B, S as in Algorithm 3.4 satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.3.*

- (1) *If the maximum local index of X is 1 and $V_{B,S}(\text{Pic}_X^1) = \emptyset$, then $I(X) \in \{2, 4\}$.*
- (2) *If the maximum local index of X is 2 and $V_{B,S}(\text{Pic}_X^2) = \emptyset$, then $I(X) = 4$.*

Remark 5.3. If the maximum local index is 1, then $V_{B,S}(\text{Pic}_X^2) \neq \emptyset$. The reason for this is as follows. If $D_v \in \text{Pic}^1(X_{k_v})$, then $C_f(2D_v) = 0$

and $\text{Pic}^2(X_{k_v}) = 2D_v + \text{Pic}^0(X_{k_v})$, so the image of $\text{Pic}^2(X_{k_v})$ under C_f will always contain 1. Then $1 \in V_{B,S}(\text{Pic}_X^2) \neq \emptyset$.

The next lemma is helpful in computing the local images $C_f(\text{Pic}^2(X_{k_v}))$ at primes v where the index is 2.

Lemma 5.4. *Let X/K be a smooth quartic curve of index 2 over a p -adic field K . Then the image of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ in $\text{Pic}_X^0(K)/2\text{Pic}_X^0(K)$ is a subgroup of index 2.*

Proof. Let I be the index of X . To ease notation let $J = \text{Pic}_X^0$ and $J^1 = \text{Pic}_X^1$, which is a torsor under J . The period P of X is the order of J^1 in the group $H^1(K, J)$ and this integer divides I . Since K is a p -adic field, [Lic69] gives that $I = P$, except possibly when $(g-1)/P$ is odd. In our case the genus g is 3, so we cannot have $P = 1$ and $I = 2$. Hence $I = P = 2$.

The Tate pairing $J(K)/2J(K) \times H^1(K, J)[2] \rightarrow \text{Br}(K)[2]$ is non-degenerate. Since J^1 is a nontrivial element in $H^1(K, J)[2]$, the map $\Theta: J(K)/2J(K) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K)[2]$ given by pairing with the class of J^1 is nonzero. By the proof of [Lic69, Proof of Corollary 1] this map sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)/2 \longrightarrow J(K)/2J(K) \xrightarrow{\Theta} \text{Br}(K).$$

We conclude that the image of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ in $J(K)/2J(K)$ has index 2, since $\text{Br}(K)[2]$ has order 2. \square

5.1. Examples.

5.1.1. *Local index 4.* In the database of plane quartic curves over \mathbb{Q} described in [Sut19] there is just one curve with max local index 4 (hence also global index 4). This is the curve of discriminant 2201024. There is a unique prime ($v = 2$) where the local index is 4, hence this curve is ‘odd’ in the sense of [PS99], meaning that Pic_X^2 represents a nontrivial element in the Tate-Shafarevich group of its Jacobian and this group has odd 2-rank.

5.1.2. *Local index 2.* There are 479 curves in the database with max local index 2, of which all but 15 have obvious quadratic points (hence have global index 2). To find ‘obvious’ quadratic points we searched for points on X of small height over fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with $|d| < 200$. In contrast to the situation for \mathbb{Q} -points where the lack of obvious points gives high confidence that there are in fact no points, it is plausible that some of these 15 curves have quadratic points over larger quadratic fields. The following example is one of the 15 for which the methods

described in this paper can prove that the global index is in fact 4, despite there being no local obstruction to having index 2.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ be the curve given by the vanishing of*

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 + x^3y + 4x^2y^2 + 2xy^3 + 3y^4 + 2x^3z + 2x^2yz + 2xy^2z \\ + 3y^3z + x^2z^2 + 7xyz^2 + 3y^2z^2 - 4xz^3 - 6yz^3 + 2z^4 \end{aligned}$$

Then X is a smooth genus 3 curve of index 4, but for every prime $v \leq \infty$ the index of $X_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$ is at most 2.

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that $X(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \notin \{2, \infty\}$, and one finds points over quadratic extensions of \mathbb{Q}_v for $v \in \{2, \infty\}$. Hence there is no local obstruction to having index 2. We will use Algorithm 3.4 to show that $\text{Pic}^2(X)$ is empty. Proposition 5.2 then applies to show that X has index 4.

The bitangent algebra splits as a product $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \times K_4 \times K_6 \times K_{16}$, where K_i is a field of degree i over \mathbb{Q} . The discriminant of X is $7331200 = 2^7 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 79$ and we find a linear form defining the generic bitangent such that the ideal generated by its coefficients is supported at the primes dividing the discriminant.

For the primes $v \in \{5, 29, 79\}$, $\text{Pic}^1(X_{\mathbb{Q}_v}) \neq \emptyset$, so the local images $C_f(\text{Pic}^2(X_{\mathbb{Q}_v}))$ must contain 1 by Remark 5.3. The Tamagawa numbers at these primes are odd, so the images of $\text{Pic}^2(X_{\mathbb{Q}_v})$ are contained in some coset of the unramified subgroup by Remark 3.7. Since these cosets contain 1, these images must be unramified. Since $X(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$, we have that $\text{Pic}^{\text{even}}(X_{\mathbb{R}})$ is generated by the classes of divisors that are pairs of conjugate \mathbb{C} -points. Hence the image of $C_f: \text{Pic}^2(X_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow (L \otimes \mathbb{R})^{\times}/\mathbb{R}^{\times}(L \otimes \mathbb{R})^{\times 2}$ is trivial. In the algorithm, we can take $B \subset (L^{\times}/L^{\times 2})_{\{2, \infty\}, N=1}$ and avoid computing local images at the primes other than $v = 2$.

Let us now describe the computation of the local image $C_f(\text{Pic}^2(X_{\mathbb{Q}_2}))$. First, we check that X has no points of degree 3 over \mathbb{Q}_2 . This can be done by enumerating the finitely many degree 3 extensions and using Hensel's lemma to check local solubility for each. We conclude that $\text{Pic}^1(X_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = \emptyset$ and, hence, that the index of $X_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ is 2.

By considering the action of Galois on the bitangents we deduce that $J(\mathbb{Q}_2)[2]$ has order 2^2 . It follows from [BPS16, Remark 11.6] that $J(\mathbb{Q}_2)/2$ has order 2^5 . By Lemma 5.4 we have that $\text{Pic}^0(X_{\mathbb{Q}_2})/2$ has order 2^4 . The image of $\text{Pic}^2(X_{\mathbb{Q}_2})$ is a coset of this, so also has size 2^4 . We then compute the images random elements of $\text{Pic}^2(X_{\mathbb{Q}_2})$ under the map C_f until their affine span is of size 2^4 .

Since the local images are unramified at odd primes and trivial at \mathbb{R} , the pairing between $B = (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{\{2,\infty\},N=1}$ and $\prod_{v \in S} C_f(\text{Pic}^2(X_{\mathbb{Q}_v}))$ reduces to the pairing of B with $C_f(\text{Pic}^2(X_{\mathbb{Q}_2}))$. An explicit computation with Hilbert symbols checks that every element of $C_f(\text{Pic}^2(X_{\mathbb{Q}_2}))$ pairs nontrivially with some element of B under $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$. We thus conclude that $V_{B,S}(\text{Pic}^2_X)$ is empty and so $\text{Pic}^2(X) = \emptyset$ by Theorem 3.3. Hence the index of X is 4. \square

Remark 5.6. In the proof above, Lemma 5.4 allowed us to obtain a sharp upper bound for the size of the local image. In turn, this allows us to compute the local image by considering random points and the image they span. Without a sharp upper bound, one would have to compute the image systematically, which may have been impractical.

5.1.3. *Local index 1.* The remaining 81751 curves in the database have maximum local index 1. All but 117 of these have obvious rational or cubic points (and hence have global index 1). To find ‘obvious’ cubic points we searched for points of small naive height over cubic fields of absolute discriminant at most 4000. Among these 117, all but one have an obvious quadratic or sextic point (and hence have global index in the set $\{1, 2\}$). The following is an example of one of these where we can prove that the global index is 2, despite there being no local obstruction to having index 1.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ be the curve given by the vanishing of*

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 + 3x^2y^2 - xy^3 + 3y^4 + 3x^3z + 3x^2yz + 4xy^2z + 6y^3z \\ - x^2z^2 + 5xyz^2 - 2y^2z^2 - 4xz^3 - 5yz^3 + 2z^4. \end{aligned}$$

Then $X_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$ has index 1 for all primes v , but X has index 2.

Proof. This curve has \mathbb{Q}_v -points for all $v \leq \infty$, so the local indices are all 1. It has the degree 2 point $(-\sqrt{3} - 1 : 0 : 1)$, so the index is at most 2.

The bitangent algebra of X is a degree 28 number field L . The discriminant of F is $2560173 = 569 \cdot 4517$. We find a linear form $ux + vy + wz \in \mathcal{O}_L[x, y, z]$ defining the generic bitangent such that the ideal $\langle u, v, w \rangle$ is supported on primes above 2953. Hence the set $S = \{\infty, 2, 569, 2953, 4517\}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.3. Moreover, the local images $C_f(\text{Pic}^1(X_{\mathbb{Q}_v}))$ are contained in a coset of the unramified subgroup for $v \neq 2, \infty$. We compute a subgroup $B \subset (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{\{2,\infty\},N=1}$, equal to the whole group conditionally on GRH.

The image of $\text{Pic}^1(X_{\mathbb{R}})$ is equal to the image of $X(\mathbb{R})$, which consists of two connected components. Since C_f is locally constant, we compute

the image of $\mathrm{Pic}^1(X_{\mathbb{R}})$ by computing $C_f(x)$ for one $x \in X(\mathbb{R})$ on each component. For $v = 2$, the Galois action on the bitangents shows that $J(\mathbb{Q}_2) = 0$, and so by [BPS16, Remark 11.6] the size of $C_f(\mathrm{Pic}^1(\mathbb{Q}_2))$ is bounded above by 2^3 . We find degree 1 divisors on $X_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ supported on closed points of degree 1 and 2 whose images have an affine span of size 2^3 . Thus we have computed the full local image of $\mathrm{Pic}^1(X_{\mathbb{Q}_2})$. We can then use Remark 3.7 to compute $V_{B,S}(\mathrm{Pic}_X^1)$ without having to compute the full local image at the other primes of S . We find that $V_{B,S}(\mathrm{Pic}_X^1) = \emptyset$ and so X has index 2 by Proposition 5.2. \square

Remark 5.8. It follows from Corollary 6.3 below that, for the curve X in Proposition 5.7, we have that Pic_X^1 represents a nontrivial element in the Tate-Shafarevich group $\mathrm{III}(\mathrm{Pic}_X^0)[2]$ and that $\#\mathrm{III}(\mathrm{Pic}_X^0)[2] \geq 4$.

Remark 5.9. It should be possible to also compute $V_{B,S}(\mathrm{Pic}_X^1)$ for the other 116 curves with max local index 1 and no obvious odd degree points, but we have not yet done so. The main obstacle is the computation of the local images $C_f(\mathrm{Pic}^1(X_{\mathbb{Q}_v}))$. As described in [BPS16] this can be done by computing the local images of $X(K)$ for all extensions K/\mathbb{Q}_v of degree up to 3. One can obtain an upper bound for the size of the image from the Galois action on $J(\mathbb{Q}_v)[2]$ and then compute the images of random points until they span a space of the correct size. However, this upper bound need not always be sharp, in which case one would have to compute the full image of $X(K)$ for all extensions K/\mathbb{Q}_v of degree up to 3, which may be impractical in some cases.

6. BRAUER AND SELMER SETS

Let X/k be a smooth, projective and geometrically irreducible plane quartic curve over a number field and $Y \subset \mathrm{Pic}_X^i$ a smooth closed subscheme such that $\mathrm{Pic}_Y^0 = \mathrm{Pic}_X^0$. This holds for example if $Y = X$ or $Y = \mathrm{Pic}_X^i$. In this section we explain how the set $V_{B,S}(Y)$ computed in Algorithm 3.4 is related to finite abelian descent and Brauer-Manin obstructions. This section is not needed to prove correctness of the algorithm or for the examples above (other than in Remark 5.8), but provides some context for method as well as insight into which elements in $(L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{S,N=1}$ are (or are not) useful for computing the obstruction.

6.1. Fake descent setups and Selmer sets. As described in Section 3.1, the data we consider for defining $V_{B,S}(Y)$ gives rise to a *fake descent setup* $(n, \Delta, [\beta])$ in the sense of [BPS16, Definition 6.7], with $n = 2$. As in [BPS16, Section 6.4] this gives rise to a Galois module $E = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})_{\deg 0}^\Delta$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow E \rightarrow J^\vee[n] \rightarrow 0$, where $J^\vee = \mathrm{Pic}_X^0 = \mathrm{Pic}_Y^0$ and R is the kernel. Dualizing gives an exact

sequence $0 \rightarrow J[n] \rightarrow E^\vee \rightarrow R^\vee \rightarrow 0$, where $J = \text{Alb}_X^0 = \text{Alb}_Y^0$ is the Albanese variety. Let $\lambda_\Delta: E \rightarrow J^\vee[n] \rightarrow \text{Pic}_Y$ be the composition.

In the language of [Sko01, Chapter 6], λ_Δ is a *type map*. A Y -torsor of type λ_Δ is a torsor $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$ under E^\vee . Since the map λ_Δ has non-trivial kernel R , the torsors of type λ_Δ are not geometrically connected. The k -scheme $\pi_0(Z)$ of connected components of a torsor of type λ_Δ is a torsor under R^\vee , and each geometric component of Z is an n -covering of Y , a geometrically connected torsor $Z' \rightarrow Y$ under $J[n]$ whose *type* is the map $J^\vee[n] \rightarrow \text{Pic}_Y$.

The torsors of type λ_Δ cut out a subset of the adelic points, $Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\lambda_\Delta} = \bigcup \pi(Z(\mathbb{A}_k))$, the union ranging over all torsors $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$ of type λ_Δ . Every rational point on Y lifts to some torsor of type λ_Δ , and so $Y(k) \subset Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\lambda_\Delta}$. It follows that if $Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\lambda_\Delta} = \emptyset$, then $Y(k) = \emptyset$. In this case, one says there is an obstruction to the existence of rational points on Y coming from torsors of type λ_Δ . This is a particular case of the *finite abelian descent obstruction*.

Torsors of type λ_Δ are classified by $H^1(k, E^\vee)$. By taking Galois cohomology of $1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mu_n^\Delta \rightarrow E^\vee \rightarrow 1$ and Hilbert's Theorem 90 we get an injective map $L^\times/k^\times L^{\times 2} \rightarrow H^1(k, E^\vee)$. If $Y(k_v)' = Y(k_v)$ for all primes v , then all torsors with points everywhere locally lie in the image. With

$$\text{Sel}^f(Y) := \{\ell \in L^\times/k^\times L^{\times 2} : \text{res}_v(\ell) \in C_f(Y(k_v)') \text{ for all } v\}.$$

from [BPS16, Definition 9.4] and [Cre20, Definition 5.1] we have that $\text{Sel}^f(X)$ parameterizes the set of torsors $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ of type λ_Δ such that $Z(k_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all primes v .

Proposition 6.1. *If $\text{Sel}^f(Y) = \emptyset$, then $Y(k)' = \emptyset$. Moreover, if $Y(k_v)' = Y(k_v)$ for all primes v , then $\text{Sel}^f(Y) = \emptyset$ if and only if $Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\lambda_\Delta} = \emptyset$.*

The following relates $V_{B,S}(Y)$ to the Selmer set.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $S, B, V_{B,S}(Y)$ be as in Algorithm 3.4. Assume that the images of $C_f: Y(k_v)' \rightarrow L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ are unramified at all primes not in S . The image of $\text{Sel}^f(Y)$ under the restriction map $L^\times/k^\times L^{\times 2} \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ is contained in $V_{B,S}(Y)$.*

Proof. By assumption $\text{Sel}^f(Y)$ is contained in $(L^\times/k^\times L^{\times 2})_S$. Hence, the image of $\text{Sel}^f(Y)$ under the restriction map is orthogonal to B with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S$ by Theorem 2.2. Then the image is contained in $V_{B,S}(Y)$ as claimed. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Suppose that $\text{Pic}^1(X_{k_v}) \neq \emptyset$ for all v . If $V_{B,S}(\text{Pic}_X^1) = \emptyset$, then Pic_X^1 is not divisible by 2 in $\text{III}(\text{Pic}_X^0)$ and $\#\text{III}(\text{Pic}_X^0) \geq 4$.*

Proof. The conclusion is known to hold when $\text{Sel}^f(\text{Pic}_X^1) = \emptyset$ and $\text{Pic}^1(X_{k_v}) \neq \emptyset$ for all v by [Cre20, Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.4]. \square

Remark 6.4. The size of $\text{Sel}^f(\text{Pic}_X^0)$ is often used to compute an upper bound for the rank of the Mordell-Weil group of the Jacobian Pic_X^0 . We do have a surjective map $\text{Sel}^f(\text{Pic}_X^0) \twoheadrightarrow V_{B,S}(\text{Pic}_X^0)$ but it seems unavoidable that determining the size of the kernel involves information on the class and unit groups of L . Consequently, we do not see how the computational advantages of our method can extend to the problem of bounding the rank of the Jacobian.

6.2. Brauer sets. The étale cohomology group $\text{Br}(X) := H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is called the *Brauer group* of X . By the purity theorem $\text{Br}(X)$ can be identified with the unramified subgroup of the Brauer group of the function field of X . A class in $\text{Br}(X)$ can be evaluated at a point $x \in X(K) = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(K), X)$ defined over some extension K/k by pulling back to yield an element of $\text{Br}(K)$. For a local point $x_v \in X(k_v)$ this can be composed with the invariant map $\text{inv}_v: \text{Br}(k_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. For given $\alpha \in \text{Br}(X)$, the resulting maps are nonzero for only finitely many primes. In this way, each $\alpha \in \text{Br}(X)$ determines a map

$$\alpha: X(\mathbb{A}_k) = \prod_v X(k_v) \ni (x_v) \mapsto \sum_v \text{inv}_v(\alpha(x_v)) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

For a subset $B' \subset \text{Br}(X)$, let $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B'}$ denote the subset of adelic points which map to $0 \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ under all of the maps induced by the $b \in B'$. By global class field theory one has $X(k) \subset X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B'}$.

The type map λ_Δ gives rise to a subgroup of the Brauer group of X . From the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, one has a morphism $r: \text{Br}_1(X) \rightarrow \text{Br}_1(X)/\text{Br}_0(X) \simeq H^1(k, \text{Pic}(\bar{X}))$. One defines $\text{Br}_{\lambda_\Delta}(X) = r^{-1}(\lambda_{\Delta*}(H^1(k, E)))$. The descent theory of abelian torsors of finite type [Sko01, Theorem 6.1.2] gives an equality of sets $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\lambda_\Delta} = X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{\lambda_\Delta}(X)}$ and hence equivalence of the obstructions.

The definition of E gives an exact diagram of Galois modules (the bottom row follows from the snake lemma applied to the top two rows and defines Q):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & J^\vee[n] \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\Delta & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\Delta & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & J^\vee[n] & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

Taking Galois cohomology we obtain an exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{H}^0(k, Q) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\mathrm{H}^1(k, R) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(k, E) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(k, J^\vee[n]) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & & & P & &
\end{array}
\tag{6.1}$$

where $P = \ker(\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^\Delta) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))$. The image of $\mathrm{Br}_{\lambda_\Delta}(X)$ in $\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}_0(X)$ is the image of the map $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(k, J^\vee[n]) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}_X) \simeq \mathrm{Br}_1(X)/\mathrm{Br}_0(X)$. By exactness, this map $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}_0(X)$ factors through P , so there is a surjective map $\Gamma: P \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{\lambda_\Delta}(X)/\mathrm{Br}_0(X)$.

In the case $n = 2$, Hilbert's Theorem 90 yields $P \simeq (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{N=1}$. So there is a surjective homomorphism $(L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{N=1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{\lambda_\Delta}(X)/\mathrm{Br}_0(X)$. The following proposition gives an explicit description of this map, relating it to the pairing (2.2) used to define $V_{B,S}(X)$.

Proposition 6.5. *There is a homomorphism*

$$\gamma: (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{N=1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{\lambda_\Delta}(X)$$

defined by $\gamma(\ell) = \mathrm{Cor}_{L/k}(\mathcal{A}(f, \ell))$. If S is a finite set of primes of k such that

- (1) for all $v \notin S$, the local images $C_f(X(k_v)) \subset L_v^\times/k_v^\times L_v^{\times 2}$ are unramified, and
- (2) ℓ represents a class in $(L^\times/L^{\times 2})_S$.

Then for any adelic point $(x_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k) = \prod X(k_v)$ we have

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \mathrm{inv}_v(\gamma(\ell)(x_v)) = \langle (C_f(x_v))_{v \in S}, \ell \rangle_S \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. This follows similarly to the proof of [CV15, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Corollary 6.6. *Given $B \subset (L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{S,N=1}$, let $B' = \{\gamma(b) : b \in B\} \subset \text{Br}(X)$. If X and S satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.3, then $V_{B,S}(X)$ is the image of $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B'}$ under the map $X(\mathbb{A}_k) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} X(k_v)$. In particular, if $V_{B,S}(X) = \emptyset$, then $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}(X)} = \emptyset$.*

6.3. **Brauer classes from $H^1(k, R)$.** By exactness of (6.1), elements in the image of the map $H^1(k, R) \rightarrow H^1(k, E)$ map to 0 in $\text{Br}_1(X)/\text{Br}_0(X)$. This observation provides some insight into what kind of elements from $(L^\times/L^{\times 2})_{N=1}$ can provide non-trivial information on rational points.

We give an explicit example for a plane quartic with an *even theta characteristic*. We first review some of the classical theory of theta characteristics on plane quartics (see [Dol12, Chapter 6]). Let us write $\kappa_X \in \text{Pic}(X)$ for the canonical class on X . We say $\theta \in \text{Pic}(X)$ is a *theta characteristic* if $2\theta = \kappa_X$. The contact points of a bitangent to X sum to a theta characteristic. These are called *odd theta characteristics*. There are 28 of those and the algebra L is the corresponding affine coordinate ring for them.

The remaining 36 theta characteristics of X are *even theta characteristics*. The even and odd theta characteristics are related via *Aronhold sets*. An Aronhold set is a collection of 7 odd theta characteristics $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_7\}$ such that no triple $\{\theta_i, \theta_j, \theta_k\}$ of distinct members has $\theta_i - \theta_j + \theta_k$ equal to an odd theta characteristic.

We have that $\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_7 = 7\theta_0$ for some even theta characteristic θ_0 . Indeed the 288 Aronhold sets are partitioned into 36 sets of 8, where each set A_{θ_0} consist of those that sum to $7\theta_0$. Any two Aronhold sets from A_{θ_0} have a unique odd theta characteristic in their intersection, reflecting that $\binom{8}{2} = 28$.

If we have an even theta characteristic θ_0 on X and write $A = A_{\theta_0}$ for the corresponding octet, then we obtain an injective homomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^A \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\Delta}$, sending each Aronhold set to its sum. Since these sums all have the same image in $\text{Pic}(X)$, we see that this map restricts to a homomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{\deg 0}^A \rightarrow R$.

Let L_A be the octic étale algebra that is the affine coordinate ring of A . We have a morphism $L_A^\times \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2(L_A)^\times$ by taking the product of evaluation at the pair. With the observation about pairwise intersections made above, we see that $\text{Sym}^2(L_A) = L_A \oplus L$, and hence we obtain a map $L_A^\times \rightarrow L^\times$. However, on the level of cohomology, this represents the derived morphism $H^1(k, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{\deg 0}^A) \rightarrow H^1(k, R)$. As noted above, elements in the image of $H^1(k, R) \rightarrow H^1(k, E)$ map to 0 in $\text{Br}_1(X)/\text{Br}_0(X)$, so for the purpose of finding elements that are non-trivial with respect to the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S$ -pairing, any S -unit from L_A is not useful.

REFERENCES

- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, DOI 10.1006/jsco.1996.0125. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). ↑2
- [BC26] Nils Bruin and Brendan Creutz, *Github Repository: BM-on-quartic-curves*, 2026. Magma code available at: <https://github.com/brendancreutz/BM-on-quartic-curves>. ↑2, 10, 11
- [BPS16] Nils Bruin, Bjorn Poonen, and Michael Stoll, *Generalized explicit descent and its application to curves of genus 3*, Forum Math. Sigma **4** (2016), Paper No. e6, 80, DOI 10.1017/fms.2016.1. ↑1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14, 16, 17
- [BS09] Nils Bruin and Michael Stoll, *Two-cover descent on hyperelliptic curves*, Math. Comp. **78** (2009), no. 268, 2347–2370, DOI 10.1090/S0025-5718-09-02255-8. ↑1
- [Buc90] Johannes Buchmann, *A subexponential algorithm for the determination of class groups and regulators of algebraic number fields*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1988–1989, Progr. Math., vol. 91, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 27–41. ↑5
- [Cre13] Brendan Creutz, *Explicit descent in the Picard group of a cyclic cover of the projective line*, ANTS X—Proceedings of the Tenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium, Open Book Ser., vol. 1, Math. Sci. Publ., Berkeley, CA, 2013, pp. 295–315, DOI 10.2140/obs.2013.1.295. ↑1
- [Cre20] Brendan Creutz, *Generalized Jacobians and explicit descents*, Math. Comp. **89** (2020), no. 323, 1365–1394, DOI 10.1090/mcom/3491. ↑1, 6, 17, 18
- [CS23] Brendan Creutz and Duttatrey Nath Srivastava, *Brauer-Manin obstructions on hyperelliptic curves*, Adv. Math. **431** (2023), Paper No. 109238, 28, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2023.109238. ↑1, 9
- [CV15] Brendan Creutz and Bianca Viray, *Two torsion in the Brauer group of a hyperelliptic curve*, Manuscripta Math. **147** (2015), no. 1-2, 139–167, DOI 10.1007/s00229-014-0721-7. ↑19
- [Dol12] Igor V. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. A modern view. ↑20
- [Lic69] Stephen Lichtenbaum, *Duality theorems for curves over p -adic fields*, Inventiones Math. **7** (1969), 120–136. ↑13
- [PS97] Bjorn Poonen and Edward F. Schaefer, *Explicit descent for Jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **488** (1997), 141–188. ↑5
- [PS99] Bjorn Poonen and Michael Stoll, *The Cassels-Tate pairing on polarized abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **150** (1999), no. 3, 1109–1149, DOI 10.2307/121064. ↑13
- [Sko01] Alexei Skorobogatov, *Torsors and rational points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. ↑17, 18
- [Sut19] Andrew V. Sutherland, *A database of nonhyperelliptic genus-3 curves over \mathbb{Q}* , Proceedings of the Thirteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium, Open Book Ser., vol. 2, Math. Sci. Publ., Berkeley, CA, 2019, pp. 443–459. ↑11, 13

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, BURNABY BC
V5A 1S6, CANADA

Email address: `nbruin@sfu.ca`

URL: <https://www.cecm.sfu.ca/~nbruin>

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY,
PRIVATE BAG 4800, CHRISTCHURCH 8140, NEW ZEALAND

Email address: `brendan.creutz@canterbury.ac.nz`

URL: <http://www.math.canterbury.ac.nz/~bcreutz>