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#### Abstract

We prove that the set of rational points on a nonisotrivial curves of genus at least 2 over a global function field is equal to the set of adelic points cut out by the Brauer-Manin obstruction.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X / K$ be a smooth projective and geometrically irreducible curve of genus at least 2 over a global field $K$ of characteristic $p>0$. We prove that if $X$ is not isotrivial, then the Brauer-Manin obstruction cuts out exactly the set of rational points on $X$.
Theorem 1.1. Let $X / K$ be a smooth projective curve of genus at least 2 over a global function field $K$. If $X$ is not isotrivial, then $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}=X(K)$.

We refer the reader to [PV10] for the definition of the Brauer-Manin obstruction and the relevant background in this context. Theorem 1.1 is proved in that paper for $X$ contained in an abelian variety $A$ such that $A\left(K^{\text {sep }}\right)\left[p^{\infty}\right]$ is finite and no geometric isogeny factor of $A$ is isotrivial. That result holds more generally for any 'coset free' subvariety of such an abelian variety. We remove the hypotheses on an abelian variety containing $X$, but our proof does not immediately extend to higher dimensional subvarieties of abelian varieties.

As in [PV10] our results are a consequence of related results concerning adelic intersections whose connection to the Brauer-Manin obstruction was first observed in [Sch99] for curves over number fields.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $X \subset A$ is a proper smooth curve of genus at least 2 contained in an abelian variety $A$ over a global field $K$ of characteristic $p>0$. Then $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}\right) \cap \overline{A(K)}=X(K)$, where $\overline{A(K)}$ denotes the topological closure of $A(K)$ in $A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$.

We follow the strategy of the proof in [PV10], but there are two new ingredients allowing us to remove all hypotheses on an abelian variety containing $X$. The first, appearing as Proposition 2.3 below, is based on ideas in the proof of the Mordell-Lang Conjecture appearing in [AV92, Vol91]. This replaces the input in [PV10] from [Hru96] which relies heavily on model theory and requires assumptions on the Jacobian of $X$. The second new ingredient is an isogeny constructed by Rössler in the appendix to this paper. We use this instead of multiplication by $p$ in some of the arguments appearing in [PV10] to prove Proposition 3.1. This removes the need for the hypothesis on $A\left(K^{\text {sep }}\right)\left[p^{\infty}\right]$ in [PV10, Proposition 5.3] and elsewhere.

The theorems above are expected to hold (in a slightly modified form) for any closed subvariety of an abelian variety over a global field. This was originally posed as a question in the case of curves over number fields by Scharaschkin [Sch99] and, independently, by Skorobogatov [Sko01]. It was later stated as a conjecture for curves over number fields in
[Poo06] and [Sto07]. The number field case has seen little progress and remains wide open. Building on [PV10], this paper settles the function field analogue of these conjectures for nonisotrivial curves of genus $\geq 2$. Some partial results toward the conjecture in the isotrivial case are given in [CV22, CV23], but this case too remains open.

## 2. ZARISKI DENSE ADELIC POINTS SURVIVING $p^{\infty}$-DESCENT

In this section we assume $X \subset A$ is a proper smooth curve of genus $\geq 2$ contained in an abelian variety $A$ over $K$.
Definition 2.1. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer. An $N$-covering of a subvariety $X \subset A$ of an abelian variety $A$ over $K$ is an fppf-torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ under the $N$-torsion subgroup scheme $A[N]$ such that the base change of $Y \rightarrow X$ to $K^{\mathrm{sep}}$ is isomorphic to the pull back of multiplication by $N$ on $A$. An adelic point on $X$ is said to survive $N$-descent if it lifts to an adelic point on some $N$-covering of $X$.

Definition 2.2. An adelic point $\left(P_{v}\right)_{v} \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ is called Zariski dense if for any proper closed subvariety $Y \subsetneq X$, there exists $v$ such that $P_{v} \notin Y$.
Proposition 2.3. Suppose $X \subset A$ is a proper smooth curve of genus at least 2 contained in an abelian variety $A$ over a global field $K$ of characteristic $p>0$. If there is a Zariski dense adelic point on $X$ which survives $p^{n}$-descent for all $n \geq 1$, then $X$ is isotrivial.

The proof of this proposition will be given at the end of this section.
Definition 2.4. Let $L \subset K$ be a subfield. We say that $X$ is defined over $L$ if there exists $X_{0} / L$ such that $X \simeq X_{0} \times_{K} L$. We say that $X$ is definable over $L$ if there exists $X_{0} / L$ such that $X \times_{K} \bar{K} \simeq X_{0} \times_{L} \bar{K}$, where $\bar{K}$ denotes an algebraic closure of $K$ containing $L$.

For an abelian variety $A / K$, multiplication by $p^{n}$ factors as

$$
A \xrightarrow{F^{n}} A^{\left(p^{n}\right)} \xrightarrow{V^{n}} A,
$$

where $F^{n}$ and $V^{n}$ are the $n$-fold compositions of the absolute Frobenius and Verschiebung isogenies.

Lemma 2.5. Suppose $X$ contains a Zariski dense adelic point which lifts to a $p^{n}$-covering $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ and let $Y \rightarrow X$ be the torsor under $\operatorname{ker}\left(V^{n}: A^{\left(p^{n}\right)} \rightarrow A\right)$ through which it factors. Then $Y_{\mathrm{red}}$ is geometrically reduced and definable over $K^{p^{n}}$.
Proof. Let $\left(P_{v}\right)_{v} \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ be the given adelic point and let $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the $p^{n}$-covering to which $\left(P_{v}\right)_{v}$ lifts. By passing to a separable extension of $K$ (which is harmless because $\left(K^{\text {sep }}\right)^{p} \cap K=K^{p}$ and [Hug05, Lemma 1.5.11]) we can assume $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is the pullback of multiplication by $p^{n}$ on $A$. In particular, it factors through the $n$-fold Frobenius morphism $F^{n}: A \rightarrow A^{\left(p^{n}\right)}$ and we have a commutative diagram with $Y$ the torsor in the statement,
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Let $\left(Q_{v}\right)_{v} \in Y^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ denote a lift of $\left(P_{v}\right)_{v}$. For any $v$, the point $Q_{v}: \operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{v}\right) \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ factors through $Y_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$, because $\operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{v}\right)$ is reduced. So $\left(Q_{v}\right)_{v}$ is also a Zariski dense adelic point on $Y_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$. Its image $\left(R_{v}\right)_{v}$ in $Y_{\text {red }}\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ is a Zariski dense adelic point and by commutativity of the diagram the image of $\left(R_{v}\right)_{v}$ in $A^{\left(p^{n}\right)}$ lies in $F^{n}\left(A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)\right)$. In particular, for each $v$, the point $R_{v}$ lies in $A^{\left(p^{n}\right)}\left(K_{v}^{p^{n}}\right)$. It then follows from the proof of [AV92, Lemma 1] that $Y_{\text {red }}$ is defined over $K^{p^{n}}$ and is geometrically reduced. Below is an alternative argument using [Vol91], in particular, the last paragraph.

We show that that $Y_{\text {red }}$ is defined over $K^{p^{n}}$ and is geometrically reduced. Assume $n=1$, which is enough, as the argument can be repeated $n$ times. Let $U$ be an affine open subset of $Y_{\text {red }}$ and $f$ a function defined on an affine open set of $A^{\left(p^{n}\right)}$ which vanishes on $U$. We have that $f\left(R_{v}\right)=0$ and differentiating this equation with respect to a derivation $\delta$ on $K$ with kernel $K^{p}$, gives $f^{\delta}\left(R_{v}\right)=0$. Since $\left(R_{v}\right)_{v}$ is Zariski dense on $Y_{\text {red }}$, we conclude that $f^{\delta}$ also vanishes on $U$. This means that $\delta$ extends to a vector field on a spreading out of $Y_{\text {red }}$ and we conclude via [Vol91, Lemma 1].

Remark 2.6. From the above proof, if $Y_{\text {red }}$ is not defined over $K^{p}$, some $f^{\delta}$ does not vanish on $Y_{\mathrm{red}}$ and the equation $f^{\delta}=0$ defines a proper Zariski closed subset containing $\left(R_{v}\right)_{v}$.

Lemma 2.7. If $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is a torsor under an étale group scheme and $X^{\prime}$ is definable over $K^{p^{n}}$, then $X$ is definable over $K^{p^{n}}$.

Proof. [Vol91, Lemma 2] proves this for Galois covers. This gives the result, since taking a separable extension to trivialise the Galois action on the étale group scheme is harmless.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose $Y_{i} \subset A_{i}$ are geometrically integral curves contained in abelian varieties $A_{i}$ over $K$, for $i=1,2$. Suppose there is an isogeny $A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ restricting to a generically purely inseparable map $Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{2}$. If $Y_{1}$ and $A_{1}$ are definable over $K^{p^{n}}$, then $Y_{2}$ is definable over $K^{p^{n}}$.

Proof. Passing to a finite separable extension we can assume $Y_{1}$ is defined over $K^{p^{n}}$. In particular, $Y_{1}$ is defined over $K^{p}$, so the argument in [AV92, Theorem A(2)] shows that $Y_{2}$ is defined over $K^{p}$. Replacing $K$ with $K^{p}$ and repeating $n$ times we find that $Y_{2}$ is defined over $K^{p^{n}}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $P:=\left(P_{v}\right)_{v} \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ be a Zariski dense adelic point that survives $p^{n}$-descent for all $n \geq 1$.

Let $n \geq 1$ and let $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a $p^{n}$-covering to which $P$ lifts. By Lemma 2.5, $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ factors through a torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ under the kernel of $V^{n}: A^{\left(p^{n}\right)} \rightarrow A$, with $Y_{\text {red }}$ geometrically reduced and definable over $K^{p^{n}}$. We can factor $V^{n}=V_{e} \circ V_{c}$, with $V_{c}$ an isogeny whose kernel is a connected abelian $p$-group scheme and $V_{e}$ étale. Let $Y \rightarrow X_{e} \rightarrow X$ be the corresponding factorization of $Y \rightarrow X$. Since $X_{e} \rightarrow X$ is étale and $X$ is smooth, $X_{e}$ is geometrically integral. The isogeny $V_{c}$ restricts to a morphism $Y_{\text {red }} \rightarrow X_{e}$ which is generically purely inseparable, so $X_{e}$ is definable over $K^{p^{n}}$ by Lemma 2.8. Then $X$ is definable over $K^{p^{n}}$ by Lemma 2.7.

Since $P$ survives $p^{n}$-descent for all $n$, we conclude that $X$ is definable over $K^{p^{n}}$ for all $n \geq 1$. This implies that $X$ is isotrivial (see the discussion in [Szp81, Section 0]).

## 3. Rational points on finite subschemes of abelian varieties

Proposition 3.1. Let $Z \subset A$ be a finite subscheme of an abelian variety defined over a global function field $K$. Then

$$
Z(K)=Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \overline{A(K)}=Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}
$$

Proof. By [PV10, Theorem E] we have $Z(K) \subset \overline{A(K)} \subset A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \subset \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A)$. So it suffices to show that $Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A) \subset Z(K)$. As in the proof of [PV10, Prop. 3.9], it suffices to show that this holds after a finite separable extension, so we can assume that $Z$ is a finite set of $K$-points.

Replacing $K$ by a further finite separable extension if needed, we can also assume that $A[n]$ is a constant group scheme for some $n$ prime to $p$ and that the Néron model of $A$ has semiabelian connected component. In the appendix by D. Rössler it is shown that, under these hypotheses, there exists an étale isogeny $f: A \rightarrow B$ and an isogeny $g: B \rightarrow B$ of degree $>1$ such that $\operatorname{ker}(g)\left(K^{\text {sep }}\right)=0$. Let $W=f(Z) \subset B$. If $B\left(K^{\text {sep }}\right)[p]=0$, then [PV10, Proposition 5.3] gives that $W\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(B)=W(K)$. Working with the given endomorphism $g$ instead of multiplication by $p$, the argument there can be adapted to give the same conclusion (Details are given in Lemma 3.2 below).

Now suppose $P \in Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A)$. It follows from the definition of the Selmer groups that $f(\widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A)) \subset \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(B)$. So $f(P) \in W\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(B)=W(K)$. For any $v \in \Omega_{K}$, the $v$-adic component of $P$ is the image of some $Q_{v} \in Z(K)$. The adelic point $P-Q_{v} \in A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ lies in the kernel of $f$ and in $\widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A)$. So $P-Q_{v} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A)_{\text {tors }}$. By [PV10, Lemma 5.1] this implies that $P-Q_{v} \in A(K)$. So $P \in A(K)$.

Here are details of the claimed analogue of [PV10, Proposition 5.3] used in the proof above.
Lemma 3.2. Let $W \subset B$ be a finite subscheme of an abelian variety defined over $K$. Suppose there exists an endomorphism $g: B \rightarrow B$ of degree $>1$ such that $B\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)[g]=0$. Then $W\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(B)=W(K)$.

Proof. We have

$$
W(K) \subset B(K) \subset B\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \subset \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(B)
$$

So it suffices to show that $W\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(B) \subset W(K)$. Moreover we can assume $W=W(K)$ as in [PV10, Prop. 3.9].

Suppose $P \in W\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(B)$. For any $v \in \Omega_{K}$, the $v$-adic component of $P$ is the image of some point $Q_{v} \in W(K)$, and $P-Q_{v} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(B)$ maps to 0 in $B\left(K_{v}\right)^{(g)}:=$ ${\underset{\longleftarrow}{n}}^{\lim _{n}} B\left(K_{v}\right) / g^{n}\left(B\left(K_{v}\right)\right)$. In particular, $P-Q_{v}$ is in the kernel of $\operatorname{Sel}^{(g)}(B) \rightarrow B\left(K_{v}\right)^{(g)}$ where $\mathrm{Sel}^{(g)}(B)$ denotes the inverse limit of the Selmer groups corresponding to the isogenies $g^{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Below we show that this map is injective, so the image of $P-Q_{v}$ in $\operatorname{Sel}^{(g)}(B)$ is 0 .

Since this holds for any $v$, if $v^{\prime}$ is any other prime we have

$$
Q_{v^{\prime}}-Q_{v} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(B(K) \rightarrow{\underset{\underset{n}{n}}{ }}_{\lim _{4}} B(K) / g^{n} B(K) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Sel}^{(g)}(B)\right)
$$

In other words, $\left(Q_{v}-Q_{v^{\prime}}\right) \in \cap_{n \geq 1} g^{n} B(K)$. Since $B(K)$ is finitely generated, this implies that $\left(Q_{v}-Q_{v^{\prime}}\right) \in B(K)_{\text {tors }}$. Again, since this holds for all $v$ we see that $R:=P-Q_{v} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(B)_{\text {tors }}$. By [PV10, Lemma 5.1] this implies that $P-Q_{v} \in B(K)$. So $P \in W(K)$.

It remains to prove that $\operatorname{Sel}^{(g)}(B) \rightarrow B\left(K_{v}\right)^{(g)}$ is injective. For this it suffices (as in [PV10, Proof of 5.2]) to prove injectivity of $\operatorname{Sel}^{(g)}(B) \rightarrow B\left(K_{v}^{\prime}\right)^{(g)}$, where $K_{v}^{\prime} \subset K^{\text {sep }}$ denotes the Henselization with respect to $v$ and $\operatorname{Sel}^{\prime(g)}(B)$ is defined in the same way as $\operatorname{Sel}^{(g)}(B)$ but using $K_{v}^{\prime}$ instead of $K_{v}$. Let $b \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Sel}^{(g)}(B) \rightarrow B\left(K_{v}^{\prime}\right)^{(g)}\right)$ and let $b_{M}$ denote its image in $\operatorname{Sel}^{\prime g^{M}}(B) \subset \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{v}^{\prime}, B\left[g^{M}\right]\right)$. Then the image of $b_{M}$ under

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{\prime g^{M}}(B) \rightarrow \frac{B\left(K_{v}^{\prime}\right)}{g^{M} B\left(K_{v}^{\prime}\right)} \subset \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{v}^{\prime}, B\left[g^{M}\right]\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K^{\text {sep }}, B\left[g^{M}\right]\right)
$$

is 0 . The inflation-restriction sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / K\right), B\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)\left[g^{M}\right]\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, B\left[g^{M}\right]\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}}, B\left[g^{M}\right]\right)
$$

shows that $b_{M}$ comes from an element of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right), B\left(K^{\text {sep }}\right)\left[g^{M}\right]\right)$. But this group is trivial since $B\left(K^{\text {sep }}\right)\left[g^{M}\right]=0$. Since this holds for all $M \geq 1$, we conclude that $b=0$.

## 4. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By [PV10, Theorem E] we have $\overline{A(K)} \subset A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \subset \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A) \subset$ $A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$. intersecting with $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)$ we have

$$
X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \overline{A(K)} \subset X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\operatorname{Br}} \subset X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A)
$$

where the rightmost set consists of the adelic points on $X$ which survive $N$-descent for all $N \geq 1$ (relative to the embedding $X \subset A$ ). In particular, any $P \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \overline{A(K)}$ survives $p^{n}$-descent for all $n \geq 1$. Since $X$ is not isotrivial, Proposition 2.3 implies that there is a finite subscheme $Z \subset X \subset A$ which contains $P$. Then $P \in Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \overline{A(K)}=Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}=$ $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A)=Z(K)$, where the final equality is Proposition 3.1.

Remark 4.1. The preceding proof shows that for $X \subset A$ as in Theorem 1.2 we have

$$
X(K)=X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \overline{A(K)}=X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap A\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}=X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap \widehat{\operatorname{Sel}}(A)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $X / K$ be as in the statement and let $J=\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ be its Jacobian. It suffices to show that $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \subset X(K)$. Passing to some finite separable extension $L / K$ we can embed $X_{L}$ in $J_{L}$ via the Abel-Jacobi map corresponding to an $L$-rational point. If $P \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}$, then its image under the inclusion $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \subset X\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)=X_{L}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ is orthogonal to $\operatorname{Br}\left(X_{L}\right)$ by [CV, Lemma 3.1]. By functoriality of the Brauer pairing and Remark 4.1 we have $X_{L}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}} \subset X_{L}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right) \cap J_{L}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)^{\mathrm{Br}}=X_{L}(L)$. Then $P$ is in $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{K}\right) \cap X(L)$ which is equal to $X(K)$ by [PV10, Lemma 3.2].
Remark 4.2. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 just given [CV, Lemma 3.1] and [PV10, Lemma 3.2] allow us to pass to an extension over which $X$ can be embedded in its Jacobian. Alternatively one can use the following construction suggested to one of us by Poonen. Restriction of scalars gives a map $\operatorname{Res}_{L / K}\left(X_{L}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{L / K}\left(J_{L}\right)$. Composing this with the canonical map $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{L / K}\left(X_{L}\right)$ gives a closed immersion $X \rightarrow A$ into the abelian variety $A:=\operatorname{Res}_{L / K}\left(J_{L}\right)$ over $K$. To prove Theorem 1.1 one can then apply Remark 4.1 to $X \subset A$.
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## Appendix A. On abelian varieties with an infinite group of separable $p^{\infty}$-TORSION POINTS (BY DAMIAN RÖSSler)

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $[n]$ for the multiplication by $n$ endomorphism on an abelian variety. If $h$ is a finite endomorphism of an abelian variety $A$ over a field $L$, we write

$$
A(L)\left[h^{\ell}\right]:=\left\{x \in A(L) \mid h^{\circ \ell}(x)=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
A(L)\left[h^{\infty}\right]:=\left\{x \in A(L) \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: h^{\circ n}(x)=0\right\}
$$

Here $h^{\circ n}(x):=h(h(h(\cdots(x) \cdots))$, where there are $n$ pairs of brackets. The notation $A(L)\left[n^{\ell}\right]$ (resp. $A(L)\left[n^{\infty}\right]$ ) will be a shorthand for $A(L)\left[[n]^{\ell}\right]$ (resp. $A(L)\left[[n]^{\infty}\right]$ ).

Let now $K_{0}$ be the function field of a smooth and proper curve $U$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p>0$. Let $B$ be an abelian variety over $K_{0}$. Suppose that for some $n>3$ prime to $p$, the group scheme $B[n]$ is constant and that the Néron model of $B$ over $U$ has a semiabelian connected component.

Proposition A.1. There exists

- an abelian variety $C$ over $K_{0}$;
- an étale $K_{0}$-isogeny $\phi: B \rightarrow C$;
- an étale $K_{0}$-isogeny $f: C \rightarrow C$;
- a $K_{0}$-isogeny $g: C \rightarrow C$;
- a natural number $r \geq 0$
such that
(a) $g \circ f=\left[p^{r}\right]$ and $g \circ f=f \circ g$;
(b) $C\left(K_{0}^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)\left[p^{\infty}\right]=C\left(K_{0}^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)\left[f^{\infty}\right]=C\left(\bar{K}_{0}\right)\left[f^{\infty}\right]$;
(c) $C\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right)\left[g^{\infty}\right]=0$.

Proof. For $\ell \geq 0$, define inductively

$$
B_{0}:=B
$$

and

$$
B_{\ell+1}:=B_{\ell} /\left(B_{\ell}\left(K_{0}^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)[p]\right)
$$

For $\ell_{2} \geq \ell_{1}$, let $\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}: B_{\ell_{1}} \rightarrow B_{\ell_{2}}$ be the (étale!) morphism obtained by composing the natural morphisms $B_{\ell_{1}} \rightarrow B_{\ell_{1}+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B_{\ell_{2}}$. We first claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{ker} \phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)\left(K_{0}^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)=B_{\ell_{1}}\left(K_{0}^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}}\right] \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove the claim by induction on $\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}$. For $\ell_{2}-\ell_{1} \leq 1$, the claim is true by definition. Suppose that $\ell_{2}-\ell_{1} \geq 1$. Let $x \in B\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right)\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}}\right]$. Then $\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-1}\right](x) \in B\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right)[p]$ and thus

$$
\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}+1}\left(\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-1}\right](x)\right)=\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-1}\right]\left(\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}+1}(x)\right)=0 .
$$

Applying the inductive assumption to $\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}+1}(x)$, we see that $\phi_{\ell_{1}+1, \ell_{2}}\left(\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}+1}(x)\right)=\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}(x)=$ 0 . This proves that $\left(\operatorname{ker} \phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right) \supseteq B_{\ell_{1}}\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right)\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}}\right]$. To prove the opposite inclusion, let $x \in\left(\operatorname{ker} \phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right)$. We compute

$$
\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}(x)=\phi_{\ell_{1}+1, \ell_{2}}\left(\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}+1}(x)\right)=0,
$$

which implies (by the inductive hypothesis) that

$$
\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-1}\right]\left(\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}+1}(x)\right)=\phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}+1}\left(\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-1}\right](x)\right)=0,
$$

which in turn implies that $[p]\left(\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}-1}\right](x)\right)=\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}}\right](x)=0$. This proves that $\left(\operatorname{ker} \phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right) \subseteq$ $B_{\ell_{1}}\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right)\left[p^{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}}\right]$ and completes the proof of the claim.

Now we know that by the reasoning made in the last page of [Rös13], that there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over $K_{0}$ in the sequence $\left\{B_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $C$ be an abelian variety over $K_{0}$, which appears at least twice in the sequence $\left\{B_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $n_{2}>n_{1}$ be such that $C \simeq B_{n_{1}} \simeq B_{n_{2}}$. Then by construction (under the identification $C=B_{n_{1}}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n_{1}, n_{2}}^{\circ \ell}=\phi_{n_{1}, n_{1}+\ell \cdot\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right)} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\ell \geq 1$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(K_{0}^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)\left[p^{\infty}\right]=C\left(K_{0}^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)\left[\phi_{n_{1}, n_{2}}^{\infty}\right] \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define $f:=\phi_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ and $r:=n_{2}-n_{1}$. Define $g$ as the only $K_{0}$-isogeny such that $g \circ f=\left[p^{r}\right]$.
Notice then that the identity $g \circ f=\left[p^{r}\right]$ implies the identity $f \circ g=\left[p^{r}\right]$. To see this last fact directly, recall first that there are natural injection of rings

$$
\operatorname{End}_{K_{0}}(C) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\bar{K}_{0}}\left(C_{\bar{K}_{0}}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_{t}}\left(T_{t}\left(C\left(\bar{K}_{0}\right)\right)\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_{t}}\left(T_{t}\left(C\left(\bar{K}_{0}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{t}\right)
$$

where $T_{t}\left(C\left(\bar{K}_{0}\right)\right)$ is the classical Tate module of $C_{\bar{K}_{0}}$ and $t>0$ is some prime number $\neq p$. Now if $M$ and $N$ are two square matrices of the same size with coefficients in a field of characteristic 0 , such that $M \cdot N=p^{r}$, then $p^{-r} N$ is the inverse matrix of $M$ and thus $N \cdot M=p^{r}$. This fact combined with the existence of the above injections implies that $f \circ g=\left[p^{r}\right]$ if $g \circ f=\left[p^{r}\right]$.

We have already proven (a). Point (b) is contained in equation (A.3).
We now prove (c). Suppose that for some $\ell \geq 0$ and some $x \in C\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right)$, we have $g^{\circ l}(x)=0$. Let $y \in\left(f^{\circ \ell}\right)^{-1}(x) \subseteq C\left(K_{0}^{\text {sep }}\right)$. Then $g^{\circ l}\left(f^{\circ l}(y)\right)=\left[p^{r \ell}\right](y)=0$. Hence $f^{\circ l}(y)=0=x$ by (A.1) and (A.2).
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