

## On the number of values taken by a polynomial over a finite field

by

J. F. VOLOCH (Rio de Janeiro)

Let  $F_q$  be the finite field with  $q$  elements and  $f(x) \in F_q[x]$  a polynomial of degree  $n$ . Let  $r(f) = \#f(F_q)$ , considering  $f$  as a function  $f: F_q \rightarrow F_q$ . A classical problem, raised by Chowla [3] (see [4] for other references), is to estimate  $r(f)$  in terms of  $n$  and  $q$ . One has the trivial bounds  $q/n \leq r(f) \leq q$ . The lower bound is essentially best possible and a characterization of the cases with equality when  $q$  is prime was obtained in [2].

On the other hand, if  $f$  is a "general" polynomial (in a sense that can be made precise, see below) Uchiyama [6] proved that  $r(f) \geq q/2 + O(q^{1/2})$  and Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer [1] found the precise result

$$r(f) = q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{i!} \right) + O(q^{1/2}).$$

They proved this when the Galois group of  $f(x) = y$  over  $\bar{F}_q(y)$  is the full symmetric group. Of course these results are interesting only when  $q$  is large compared to  $n$ . The purpose of this paper is to give lower bounds for  $r(f)$ , valid for  $f$  "general", which improves on the above bounds in several cases.

Uchiyama's condition is that the polynomial

$$f^*(u, v) = (f(u) - f(v))/(u - v)$$

is absolutely irreducible. When this is the case he could apply Weil's estimate ([7]) on the number of points of  $f^*(u, v) = 0$  over  $F_q$  to get his result.

To relate the number of solutions of  $f^*(u, v) = 0$  in  $F_q^2$  with  $r(f)$ , Uchiyama [6] proved the following:

LEMMA 1. *Let  $N$  be the number of solutions of  $f^*(u, v) = 0$  in  $F_q^2$  and  $n_0$  the number of solutions of  $f'(x) = 0$  in  $F_q$ . Then*

$$r(f) \geq q^2/(N + q - n_0).$$

$= \#f^{-1}(a_i), i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i = q$  and

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i(n_i - 1) + n_0.$$

Hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2 = N + q - n_0$ . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{r} (\sum n_i)^2 = \frac{q^2}{r}$$

and the result follows.

Using the trivial bound  $N \leq (n - 1)q$  (since  $f^*$  has degree  $n - 1$ ) one gets  $r(f) \geq q/n$ . If  $f^*$  is absolutely irreducible (i.e. irreducible over  $\bar{F}_q$ ), Weil's estimate  $N \leq q + (n - 3)(n - 2)(q^{1/2} + 1)$  gives

$$r(f) \geq \frac{q}{2} - \frac{(n - 3)(n - 2)(q^{1/2} + 1)}{4}.$$

We shall now give upper bounds for  $N$  which follow from the results of [5] and improve on the above bounds on several instances.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be an absolutely irreducible plane curve of degree  $d$  defined over  $F_q$  with  $N$  rational points, then*

- (i) *If  $q$  is prime and  $q^{1/4} < d < q$  then  $N \leq 4d^{4/3}q^{2/3}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $h(x, y) = 0$  is an affine equation for  $X$  and  $d^2y/dx^2 \neq 0$ , then  $N \leq \frac{1}{2}d(d + q - 1)$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $X$  be an absolutely irreducible curve of degree  $D$  contained in  $P^n$ , not contained in a hyperplane. If  $p$  is the characteristic of  $F_q$  and  $D \leq p$ , it follows from [5], Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 2.7, that the number of rational points,  $M$  say, of a non-singular model of  $X$  satisfies

$$M \leq (n - 1)(g - 1) + D(q + n)/n$$

where  $g$  is the genus of  $X$ .

Returning to the situation of the theorem, let  $x, y$  be affine coordinates in the plane. If  $m < d$ , we can embed  $X$  in  $P^n, n = \binom{m+2}{2} - 1$  by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y, x^2, xy, y^2, \dots, x^m, \dots, y^m)$  in affine coordinates. In this case  $D = md$ , and this embedding is not contained in a hyperplane, so we can apply the above bound if  $D \leq n$ . Now the number of singular points of  $X$  is bounded by

$$N \leq (n-1) \frac{d(d-3)}{2} + \frac{D(q+n)}{n}$$

with  $n = \binom{m+2}{2} - 1$ ,  $D = md$ .

If we take now  $m = \lceil (q/d)^{1/3} \rceil$ , the conditions  $m < d$  and  $D \leq p$  follow from the hypotheses  $q^{1/4} < d < q$  and  $q = p$ , and the result stated follows immediately.

(ii) is just Theorem 0.1 of [5].

Applying item (i) of the theorem to  $f^*(u, v) = 0$  when it is absolutely irreducible, it follows that  $r(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{q}{n-1} \right)^{4/3}$ , if  $q$  is prime and  $q^{1/4} < n-1 < q$ . In this range this bound is better than those mentioned above.

Whenever (ii) applies, it gives

$$r(f) \geq \frac{2q^2}{(n+1)q + (n-1)(n-2)}$$

which improves on Uchiyama's bound for  $n > q^{1/2}/2$ .

We shall now study when the conditions  $f^*(u, v)$  absolutely irreducible and  $d^2v/du^2 \neq 0$  on  $f^*(u, v) = 0$ , hold. Consider the following condition on  $f$ :

(\*)  $f'$  has  $n-1$  distinct roots and  $f$  is injective on the roots of  $f'$ .

This condition already appears in [1]. There they prove that (\*) is sufficient for the Galois group of  $f(x) = y$  over  $\bar{F}_q(y)$  to be the full symmetric group ([1], Lemma 3). They also remark that (\*) is equivalent to the non-vanishing of the discriminant in  $y$  of the discriminant in  $x$  of  $f(x) - y$ . The aforementioned discriminant is a function on the coefficients of  $f$ , which does not vanish identically if  $p \neq 2$  and  $p \nmid n$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $F_q$ . Hence (\*) is a generic condition.

Concerning condition (\*) we shall prove

PROPOSITION. Suppose that the characteristic of  $F_q$  is not 2 and let  $f(x) \in F_q[x]$  be of degree  $n \geq 2$ .

- (i)  $f^*(u, v) = 0$  is non-singular if and only if  $f$  satisfies (\*).
- (ii) If  $f$  satisfies (\*) then, on  $f^*(u, v) = 0$ ,  $d^2v/du^2 \neq 0$ .

Proof. (i) Let  $p$  be the characteristic of  $F_q$ . If  $p \nmid n$  it is easy to see that  $f^*(u, v) = 0$  has  $n-1$  points at infinity, hence they are all non-singular points. If  $p \mid n$  it is also easy to see that the point at infinity on the line  $u = v$  is a singular point of  $f^*(u, v) = 0$ . Also condition (\*) implies that  $p \nmid n$ , for otherwise  $f'$  would have degree at most  $n-2$ . This takes care of the points at

For the affine points, we have:

$$\frac{\partial f^*}{\partial u} = \frac{(u-v)f'(u) - (f(u) - f(v))}{(u-v)^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial f^*}{\partial v} = \frac{-(u-v)f'(v) + f(u) - f(v)}{(u-v)^2}.$$

A point  $(u_0, v_0)$  with  $u_0 \neq v_0$  is in  $f^*(u, v) = 0$  if and only if  $f(u_0) = f(v_0)$  and is a singular point if and only if  $f'(u_0) = f'(v_0) = 0$ , in which case  $f$  is not injective on the set of zeros of  $f'(x) = 0$ .

Let now  $(u_0, u_0)$  be a point of  $f^*(u, v) = 0$ . Changing variables,  $x$  to  $x+u_0$ ,  $u$  to  $u+u_0$ ,  $v$  to  $v+u_0$ , we may assume that  $u_0 = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 0$ . If

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i x^i, \text{ then } \alpha_1 = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$f^*(u, v) = \alpha_2(u+v) + \alpha_3(u^2 + uv + v^2) + \dots$$

Hence  $(0, 0)$  is a singular point of  $f^* = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha_2 = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $x = 0$  be a double root of  $f'(x) = 0$ . This proves part (i) of the proposition.

(ii) On  $f^*(u, v) = 0$  we have  $f(u) = f(v)$ , hence  $f'(u) = f'(v) dv/du$  and

$$f''(u) = f''(v)(dv/du)^2 + f'(v) d^2v/du^2.$$

If  $d^2v/du^2 = 0$  we conclude that  $f''(u) \cdot f'(v)^2 = f''(v) f'(u)^2$ , whenever  $f(u) = f(v)$ . Suppose  $f$  satisfies (\*). Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f'(x) = 0$ . Since (\*) holds there exists  $\beta \neq \alpha$  with  $f(\beta) = f(\alpha)$ . Then

$$f''(\alpha) f'(\beta)^2 = f''(\beta) f'(\alpha)^2 = 0.$$

If  $f''(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $\alpha$  is a double root of  $f'(x) = 0$ , contradicting (\*). If  $f'(\beta) = 0$  then  $f$  is not injective on the roots of  $f'(x) = 0$ , again contradicting (\*). This completes the proof of the proposition.

Remarks. 1. A non-singular plane curve is necessarily absolutely irreducible, since two irreducible components would necessarily meet at a singular point. Hence  $f^* = 0$  is absolutely irreducible when (\*) holds.

2. It follows from item (ii) of the proposition that item (ii) of the theorem holds for  $f^*$  whenever (\*) holds for  $f$  and, in this case, we have the corresponding bound on  $r(f)$ .

#### References

- [3] S. Chowla, *The Riemann zeta and allied functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1952), 287–305.
- [4] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1983.
- [5] K. O. Stöhr and J. F. Voloch, *Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 52 (1986), 1–19.
- [6] S. Uchiyama, *Sur le nombre des valeurs distinctes d'un polynôme à coefficients dans un corps fini*, Proc. Japan Acad. 30 (1955), 930–933.
- [7] A. Weil, *Sur les courbes algébriques et variétés qui s'en déduisent*, Hermann, Paris 1948.

IMPA  
Estrada Dona Castorina 110  
22460 – Rio de Janeiro, RJ  
Brazil

Received on 5.11.1987

(1765)

---

